**Background:** This report describes the penile reconstruction of a congenital urogenital malformation.
**Patient and Method:** A 12-year-old boy came to our hospital with ‘penile duplication’, bifid scrotum, symphisiolysis, and remnant urachus. The procedures were done by the urologist, pediatric surgeon, and plastic surgeon. Urethral evaluation done by the urologist determined which penis was tenable, pediatric surgeon then excised the remnant urachus, and we performed urethroplasty onto the chosen urethra. The medial side of the penis was deepithelialized and both penises were put within one skin covering.
**Results:** Patient was able to control micturition, and has normal erectile function. In the future, we plan to do further reconstruction of the pubic symphisiolysis at pubertal age.
**Summary:** Surgery was performed by a team dedicated to their specialties. There is no single standard procedure for urogenital malformation, and we must emphasize the functional and aesthetic results in reconstruction surgery. It is important to master the basic principles of plastic surgery.
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This article presents a case of uncommon urogenital malformation consisting of penile duplication, epispadias (penile and penopubic types), symphisiolysis, remnant urachus, and bifid scrotum without bladder extrophy. Embriologically, this presentation is a variant of the extrophy-epispadias complex. The etiology of this complex was the failure of mesoderm ingrowth to reinforce the cloacal membrane.

**PATIENT AND METHODS**

A twelve years old boy came to our hospital with multiple congenital anomaly: a mass covered by mucous over the pubic symphisis, 4 cm in diameter, reddish, soft and tenacious. Penis is deformed where the corpora cavernosa structure was spread apart and the corpus spongiosum was absent or deficient. Scrotum was present with two testicles in it (Figure 1). This patient was born at term from a mother who suffered severe pre-eclampsia.

From CT scan, a 6 cm symphisiolysis was found. One cavernous body could be identified in each penis (Fig. 2). Penopubic epispadia was found on the left penile, urethral meatus located on the pubic. No stricture at the verumontanum was found by urethroscopy.
Prostates were well, both ureters could be identified starting from the bladder neck. On the right penis, the urethral opening lied on the corona of the glans. Urethrocystoscopy revealed normal urethra but there was fibrotic tissue on the mucosa along the canal until the bladder.

The surgery was done by a team consisting of urologist, pediatric surgeon and plastic surgeon. The urologist found 2 external urethral orifices. The pediatric surgeon performed explorative laparotomy and found a remnant urachus, which was not connection to the urinary bladder or other organs. Defect was then excised and closed primarily (Fig. 3).

Based on urethroscopy findings, plastic surgeon decided to make the neourethra from the ‘left penile’ and discarded the fibrotic urethrae. The right corpora cavernosa was dissected, fibrotic urethra excised until the firth and closed using tobacco sac suture, deepithelialzation followed to attain a wider raw surface which were then joined to the opposite of ‘shaft penile’ (Fig. 4).

In performing urethroplasty on the left penile, rectangular flap design was raised from the glands to the proximal side (Fig. 3). The flap was elevated then wrapped around the catheter (tubularized) and sutured with 6.0 interrupted unabsorbable sutures. Overhecting sutures added to achieve water-tight condition (Fig. 4). Finally, both corporal bodies was sutured medially and covered by foreskin. W plasty design joined both glands (Fig. 4). Catheter was removed 2 weeks following the surgery.

Figure 1. The picture shows the remnant urachus, ‘penile duplication’, and scrotum bifide (left), noted that the navel was missing (right).

Figure 2. Radiologic examination from left to right showed 6 cm distance symphisiolysis (x), two corpora cavernosa (x), fibrotic tissue on the mucosa along the canal urethra of the right penile to the vesica (x). (right)
There was no leakage or fistula, with satisfying functional and aesthetic results.

**DISCUSSION**

In the Central Army Hospital Indonesia, most cases involving genitalia reconstruction are referred to the plastic surgeons, especially for complex cases such as epispadia and hypospadias cripples. In this surgical technique, plastic surgery principles were applied to incorporate both sides of gland penis. W-plasty design was used instead of the inversion suture to avoid unpleasant cosmetic appearance.

The principles of urethroplasty are (a) to choose a healthy skin to draw a good design on, (b) eversion interrupted sutures should be placed into the lumen, (c) siliconized catheter kept in place for 3 weeks, or place cystostomy, (d) use a small prolonged absorbable monofilament, (e) do overhecting to attain water proofing, (f) skin should be closed by vertical mattress sutures.

One month after the surgery, there was no fistula or urethral leakage although latex catheter was left in place for 14 days. At first, the function of urethral sphincter could not be predicted. Postoperatively, patient could control his micturition. After several days of
observation, his ability to attain erection was normal. In the future, we must consider further reconstruction for the pubic symphsiolysis.

**SUMMARY**

There is no single standard procedure acceptable for urogenital malformation to date, yet we must have concerns regarding the function and appearance of the surgery. The team work of surgeons is helpful, each brings with them the expertise of their specialty. The basic principles of reconstruction are very important master and be applied in every case.
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